



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_4698
Title of the Manuscript:	ENTROPY IN THE ANALYSIS OF GAIT COMPLEXITY: A STATE OF THE ART
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- only 7-8 articles were introduced in the interview, for example Yentes et al. [2012] "The appropriate use of approximate entropy and sample entropy with short data sets" was not included - authors did not provide own discussion and conclusion - review table is missing which is common in literature review articles (sample, methods, findings, recommendations)	This point is changed in the text. This point is changed in the text. This point is changed in the text.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- perhaps ApEn formulas are not needed, descriptive step approach would more appropriate - table 1 is not needed, detailed description of findings should suffice - perhaps MSE algorithm should be described in more detail	-This point is changed in the text. This point is changed in the text. This point is changed in the text.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	paper is well written, yet to short, literature review is too scarce, review table is missing	